[30th January 1931

Government villages of Tornagallu, Oddu, Nagalapuram, Lingadahalli, Malapuram, Kodalu, Chikkantapuram and Yerrabanahalli of Hospet taluk and Kuditini and Anginadona of Bellary taluk;

(b) the extent of area available for grazing in each of the above villages as (1) unreserve and (2) panchayat forest respectively; and

(c) the extent of area in each of the above villages fit for grazing but closed to the village cattle, being included in the military farm area?

A.—(a) (b) & (c) The Government have no information. A report has been called for.

Irrigation

Report on certain schemes in the Godavari Central Delta.

*251 Q.—Mr. A. HARISCHANDRUDU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state whether the Government will be pleased to call for a report from the Superintending Engineer, Dowlaishweram Circle, on the scheme of extension of ayacut for wet cultivation in the Godavari Central Delta and other schemes in that Delta and the special establishment that is required to deal with them?

A.—It is reported that no special establishment is required for the purpose. The following are some of the chief schemes for extension of irrigation in the Central Delta. The Polavaram Island Project is practically completed and proposals in regard to the rest are under consideration of the Public Works Department Officers:—

(1) Polavaram Island Project
(2) Nimmakayala Kothapalli
(3) Pallamkurru (1) and (2) channels.
(4) Kandikuppa
(5) Surasaniyanam
(6) Chellapalli
} in Amalapuram taluk.

(7) Antarvedi
(8) Sankaraguptam
} in Razole taluk.

Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"May I know whether, in view of the fact that water is available in the delta, Government propose to carry out any scheme of extension or contemplate any such extension?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"Notice, Sir."

Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"May I know whether the scheme refers to short crop extension or long crop extension?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"I must ask for notice."

Public Service

Rules relating to appeals by Government servants.

*252 Q.—Mr. T. SUNDARA RAO NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to lay on the table of the House a copy of the rules in regard to appeals to the Government of India and to the Madras Government by Government servants against orders passed by superior officers brought up to 1st October 1930?

30th January 1931]

A.—The rules[a] as amended up to 31st December 1930 are laid on the table.

Civil Justice

Suits from Chandragiri taluk.

*253 Q.—Mr. C. R. PARTHASARATHI AYYANGAR: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) for how long Chandragiri taluk was within the civil jurisdiction of the District Munsif's Court of Tirupati;

(*b*) the number of suits filed from the taluk in the Tirupati District Munsif's Court from 1910 to 1917 yearwar;

(*c*) the distance between Tirupati District Munsif's Court and Panapakam and the number of villages between the two stations; and

(*d*) how long Chandragiri taluk has been added to Chittoor District Munsif's Court and the number of suits filed yearwar from the taluk during that period?

A.—(*a*), (*b*), (*c*) & (*d*) Information has been called for from the High Court.

Suits from Gudiyattam taluk.

*254 Q.—Mr. C. R. PARTHASARATHI AYYANGAR: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of years during which Gudiyattam taluk was under the civil jurisdiction of the Chittoor District Munsif's Court;

(*b*) the number of suits filed each year from the taluk during that period;

(*c*) the distance from Gudiyattam to Chittoor by road and the bus fare thereof;

(*d*) amongst what courts the jurisdiction of the Gudiyattam taluk is now distributed; and

(*e*) the number of suits filed from the Gudiyattam taluk in the Chittoor District Munsif's Court from 1910 to 1917 yearwar?

A.—(*a*), (*b*), (*c*), (*d*) & (*e*) Government have called for the information.

Suits from Kuppam Zamindari.

*255 Q.—Mr. C. R. PARTHASARATHI AYYANGAR: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) when Kuppam was added to the jurisdiction of the District Munsif's Court of Chittoor;

(*b*) the number of suits (original and small causes) filed since then from the Kuppam jurisdiction;

(*c*) how many of them were contested and how many were decided ex parte;

(*d*) how many appeals were preferred therefrom to the District Court, Chittoor, and the distance from Kuppam to Chittoor;

(*e*) the number of suits filed from Kuppam Zamindari in the Chittoor Sub-Court and appeals therefrom to the District Court;

---

[a] Printed as Appendix I on pages 652–694 infra.